Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1931.

File No. 6 – 31

Previous References (LB)–

Serial Nos. 37 & 38

Later References

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for Sept 32

SUBJECT.

Interpellation of mr N. Chennappa at the Dt Bd Meeting re: certain irregularities connected with the Veterinary Hospital Tumkur and requesting Govt: to instruct the Dir of Agriculture to furnish detailed information re certain points.

61/32

The president Dt Bd Tumkur thro D.C.

No L.2401 of 28.9.32

States that Govt cannot instruct the Dir of Agriculture to furnish information the

29/9/32

Certain irregularities in the Vety Hospital, Dumkur.

General and Revenue Secretariat — 39/2 — 3897 — L3272 — [App. F. III—2

LB File No. of 193 .

Serial No. 37

695.

From the Presdt DB Dumkur
No L2 C756/31-32 Dt 1.2.32.
States that mr. N. Channappa, a member of the Board has proposed an interpellation for discussion at a Bd meeting, regarding certain irregularities in the Vety Hospital at Dumkur, that the Board is entitled to have the info as they are meeting a portion of the maintenance charges & requests that the Director of Agri may be addressed in the matter.
Copy of the interpellation said to have been enclosed, has not been recd.
Draft calling for it subd for appl,

MB 17/2 — Issue from LB

Presdt DB Dumkur L 5963 Dt 20/2/32
Calls for copy of the interpellation
from the Presdt DB Dumkur
No L2 C756/31-32 Dt 17.3.32
Forwards copy of the interpellation.
The request is that the Director of Agn may be requested to furnish information on certain irregularities in the Vety Dispy at Dumkur. This may therefore be transferred to the Dev. Br

3982

MB 15/4/32 (Dev Br) AM 19/4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

The Dir's proposals with the file of records relating to the case have been sent to Orders separately.

19/4/32 KD.

The file has been sent

Serial No

To L.S.G. Bombay with Compts

The matter is still under the consideration of

Govt
Sd K.P.
3/6/32 2/6/32

submd for information.

Sec Bo
2/6 1/6/32

Asst
1/6

Asst Secretary compts for kindly
noting the stage of the case.

2/6/32

2 Circulars
MB
2/6

A copy of G.O. d 1577/32 is put up for
information

Govt K.P. K.P.
2/6/32 2/6

MB 2/6/32

Subd for orders whether a copy of the G.O.
may be sent to the Presidency Magistrate,
D 168-G/CV 57-31-9 1577/32
MB 2/6 Bombay for circulation among
the officials of the Hospital
visited in the Govt Agriculture
and it would not be desirable
to ask the Govt to furnish de-
tailed information to the
Press as it is likely to serve

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

D 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

Serial No.

GENERAL SECRETARY
7 SEP.
No.

respective offices, the President can get the information from the Asst Sec: Supply at Tunbridge. It is therefore sufficient if the President is informed of this fact.

Asst Secy (Sg)
with Compts

80
law

Dy
30/8

A Draft reply to the President may be prepared
Sm
31/8

Draft submd, for approval
Sm
2/9

(Pers Secy) Sm 7/9

(Secy Defence) (II Member) Sm 16/9

For perusal before issue

This should have been answered long ago—
Is there any reason for its lapse in the Secretariat? Let me see the reply
by
Wells

Sm
16/9

Please see section 30(2) of the Air Force Regulations under which powers have been delegated by Govt in regard to appointments, and section 26 of the Army Act which makes rules with respect to the

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE MEMBER FOR DEFENCE
16 SEP. 1932
No. 2237

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

LB 6 - 31 - 37

Nor L-7-2C 758/31-32

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, No. 6541 FEB 2 1932 TUMKUR DIST.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

Dated, Tumkur, the 1st February *193* 2.

From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

GEN. SECT. 1 L.S.G. BRANCH. L8272

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 15 FEB. 193 No. 108

Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of an interpellation proposed for discussion at the meeting of the District Board to be held on 16-2-32, by Mr. N. Chennappa, District Board Member regarding certain irregularities in the Veterinary Hospital, Tumkur, I have the honour to state that the Assistant Veterinary Superintendent, Tumkur, who was requested to furnish information on the points raised has replied to say that the whole file is before the Director of Agriculture and the Superintendent, Civil Veterinary Department has been written to in the matter.

The District Board has been paying a portion of the maintenance charges of the dispensary and is therefore entitled to have the information on the points raised.

I request therefore that the Director of Agriculture may kindly be addressed in the matter of furnishing detailed information on the points raised.

I have the honour to be,
s i r,
your most obedient servant,
K. Narayana Setty
for President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

Ag. No. 5056/12/32

LSD. 1092/31-32

N.Dis. A.3.C

Forwarded with Compliments

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section 12

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. L5963

To the Presd. D.B. Zunkan

thro the D.C. Zunkan Dt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 20/2

Signed by Mr A.C. Rose

Sir,

with reference to your letter no

L2 C 756/31-32 dt 1.2.32 requiring

the Director of Agriculture may

be addressed to furnish certain

information about certain irregularities

in the Veligi Hospital, Zunkan,

used in connection with an

interpellation proposed by Mr

V. Channappa Dt Bd Member,

I am directed to request you to

forward for use a copy of the

interpellation referred to as it

has not been received with your

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

19/2

Examined by .....

letter, I have &c

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

Ans 18/2 forgers fey mB

17/2/32

No. L.7.2C. 758 - Encl 2.
31.32

3897 (9

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

Dated, Tumkur, the 4th March 1932.





From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
~~EXRANGAXRAOXESQXEXXXXX~~,
~~GEXXXXX~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Financial Department, BANGALORE.



Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No. L. 5963/L.B. dated 20-2-1932, requesting me to forward, a copy of the interpellation proposed by Mr. N. Channappa, District Board Member, Tumkur, I have the honour to forward, herewith, a copy of the interpellation by Mr. N. Chennappa, Dist. Board Member regarding irregularities in the Veterinary Dispensary, Tumkur.

Received q2 interpellations alleging same subject

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

your most obedient servant,

D S Mallappa
17-3-32

~~For~~ President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

Q. 8182/31-3

Forwarded with Compliments

ASD.

N S Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

(10

Interpellation by Mr. N. Chennappa, District Board Member, regarding irregularities in the Veterinary Dispensary, Tumkur.

---

1. Is it a fact that there is an enquiry into the working of the Veterinary Hospital at Tumkur and if so with what result?

2. Will the Government be kindly asked to expedite the whole enquiry?

3. What are the irregularities disclosed so far?

C o p y "

17-3-32

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

(11

Interpellations by Mr. N. Chennappa, B.A., B.L., District Board Member, Gubbi.

---

1. a) Is it a fact that certain irregularities were found out by the Financial Assistant to the Director of Agriculture at the time of auditing the accounts for a portion of the working period of the predecessor of the present Doctor in charge of the Tumkur Veterinary Hospital?

b) Is it also a fact that subsequent to the report of the Financial Assistant, the Superintendent of Veterinary Department has been directed to reinvestigate the matter?

c) Is it also a fact that the said Superintendent is a relation of the Doctor during whose working period such irregularities were found out?

d) If so, is it not opposed to human nature to expect such a person to take a dispassionate and detached view of things which might compel him to sit in judgement over the actions of a relative of his own?

e) In view of the above said matters, will the President be pleased to address the Government why ~~was~~ there was any necessity to get it reinvestigated by the Superintendent of Veterinary Department?

f) Is it because the audit report by the Financial Assistant was disbelieved by the Director of Agriculture?

" C o p y "

Dchennappa
17-3-32.

President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section 2 B

File No. 6 – 31

Serial No. 38

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 2401

To the Presdt, D.B., Tumkur thro Dc

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

the *193*

with refe to Correspdce ending with
your letter no 2 C 756/31-2 dt 17.3.32
requesting that the Director of Agri
may be addressed to furnish
him regarding certain irregu-
larities in the Vety. Deptt at
Tumkur, I am directed to state
that Sec 30(2) of the D.B. Regn
contemplates interpellations on
matters connected with the admn
of the Bd & that the interpellation
of mr Channappa does not
satisfy this condition. I am
to state that Capt Carroll
therefore instructed the Director

Date of issue 28/9

Signed by Mr. A.S. Rowe

so as to enable you to furnish replies to an interpellation proposed by mr N. channappa, D.B. member

List of enclosures

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

L 2401
LB

28 24th September 1932.

The

The President, District Board, Tumkur,
Through the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.L.F.2 C.756/31-2 dated 17th March 1932 requesting that the Director of Agriculture may be addressed to furnish information regarding certain irregularities in the Veterinary Dispensary at Tumkur so as to enable you to furnish replies to an interpellation proposed by Mr.N.Channappa, District Board Member, I am directed to state that Section 30(2) of District Board Regulation contemplates interpellations on matters connected with the administration of the Board, and that the interpellation of Mr.Channappa does not satisfy this condition. Government cannot, therefore, instruct the Director of Agriculture to furnish information in respect of the interpellation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/.

General Secretary to Governmont.

Memo No. L 2402/LB Dt. 28-9-32

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Director of Agriculture in Mysore, for information.

By Order.

Extra

General Secretary to Government.

MB

S.R.-3